

CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREMS FOR U-STATISTICS OF POISSON POINT PROCESSES

MATTHIAS REITZNER AND MATTHIAS SCHULTE

ABSTRACT. A U-statistic on a Poisson point process is defined as the sum $\sum f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ over all (possible infinitely many) k -tuples of distinct points of the point process. Using the Malliavin calculus, the Wiener-Itô chaos expansion of such a functional is computed and used to derive a formula for the variance. Central limit theorems for U-statistics of Poisson point processes are shown, with explicit bounds for the Wasserstein distance to a Gaussian random variable. In order to estimate this bound, it is necessary to compute products of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals. As applications, the intersection process of Poisson hyperplanes and the length of a random geometric graph are investigated.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, Malliavin calculus, Wiener-Itô chaos expansions, and Fock space representations of functionals of Poisson point processes have been a rapidly developing topic. First results already appeared in the classical works of Itô [10] [11] and Wiener [29]. Yet only in the last years prominent contributions produced a deep theory which most probably will have a strong impact on modern theory and applications of Poisson point processes, see e.g. Houdre and Perez-Abreu [9], Last and Penrose [14], Nualart and Vives [18], Peccati and Taqqu [20], and Wu [30]. Here in particular we want to point out the groundbreaking paper by Peccati, Solé, Taqqu, and Utzet [19] on central limit theorems using a combination of Stein's method and Malliavin calculus. Further developments concerning central limit theorems in this setting are due to Peccati and Taqqu [21] and Peccati and Zheng [22].

Surprisingly, most of the work mentioned above did not leave any traces in combinatorial and geometrical probability theory of Poisson point processes, for example in the theory of random graphs, in spatial statistics, communication theory and in stochastic geometry. This work is an attempt to close this gap. We use Malliavin calculus, the Wiener Itô chaos expansion, and Stein's method to prove central limit theorems for a broad class of functionals, namely for U-statistics of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60H07, 60F05; Secondary 60G55, 60D05.

Key words and phrases. Poisson point process, Malliavin calculus, U-statistic, Wiener-Itô chaos expansion, Stein's method, central limit theorem.

Poisson point processes.

Here we call a function F a U-statistic on a Poisson point process η if

$$F(\eta) = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

By $\eta_{\neq}^k$, we denote the set of all k -tuples of distinct points of the process. One should compare this definition to classical U-statistics defined on a set of n random variables $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} = \zeta$ where $U(\zeta) = \sum_{\zeta_{\neq}^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$. For details on classical U-statistics we refer to [13]. From now on, we mean with U-statistic a U-statistic on a Poisson point process.

The first step in this paper is the evaluation of Malliavin calculus for U-statistics on Poisson point processes. The main result of this paper is Theorem 4.7 which gives an explicit bound on the Wasserstein distance between a U-statistic $F = \sum f(\dots)$ on a Poisson point process and a standard Gaussian variable N ,

$$d_W \left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, N \right) \leq 2k^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{M_{ij}(f)}}{\text{Var } F}$$

where M_{ij} are sums of certain fourth moment integrals. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be the intensity rate of the Poisson point process η . In the particular situation that $f : X^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is independent of λ , we conclude in Theorem 5.2 that

$$d_W \left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, N \right) \leq C_f \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

which is the optimal rate of convergence in general.

As an application of our result we investigate the intrinsic volumes of the intersection process of Poisson hyperplanes in an bounded window. A central limit theorem for some of these functionals was proved in two long and highly nontrivial papers by Heinrich [7] and Heinrich, Schmidt and Schmidt [8]. Here we obtain a general result which in addition gives rates of convergence to Gaussian variables. A second example concerns functionals of Sylvester type by which we mean the question about the probability that k points in a convex set are in convex position. Our last example is about the number of edges of a random geometric graph in a bounded window. Again we obtain a central limit theorem with a rate of convergence. As general references to stochastic geometry and random graphs we refer to [23], [25], and [27].

To prove our central limit theorem, we first use a result of Last and Penrose [14], to expand a U-statistic in a Wiener-Itô chaos expansion as a finite sum of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals. This enables us to give a formula for the variance of a U-statistic on a Poisson point process and to compute two operators from Malliavin calculus that are defined by the chaos expansions. Using a theorem for the normal approximation of Poisson functionals due to Peccati, Solé, Taqqu,

and Utzet [19], we show convergence in the Wasserstein distance. In order to apply their result, we need to compute expected values of products of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals which is well known to be a notorious difficult task. We expect that the same techniques can be used to show central limit theorems for more general functionals of Poisson point processes.

This paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we introduce Wiener-Itô chaos expansions for functionals of a Poisson point process, and some operators from Malliavin calculus. Then we compute the Wiener-Itô chaos expansion of a U-statistic on a Poisson point process and its variance in Section 3. Using Malliavin calculus we prove the general version of our central limit theorem for U-statistics in Section 4. Finally, we investigate two special classes of U-statistics on Poisson point processes and present examples in the Sections 5 and 6.

2. WIENER-ITÔ CHAOS EXPANSIONS FOR POISSON POINT PROCESSES

2.1. Poisson point process. In this paper let η be a Poisson point process on the measure space $(X, \mathcal{B}(X), \mu)$ where X is a Borel space and μ is a σ -finite non-atomic Borel measure. A Borel space is a measurable space which is isomorphic to a Borel subset of $[0, 1]$ (see p. 7 in [12] or chapter 7.3 in [3]).

More precisely, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space. Denote by $N(X)$ the set of all integer-valued σ -finite measures ν on X , equipped with the smallest σ -algebra $\mathcal{N}(X)$ such that the mappings $\nu \rightarrow \nu(A)$ are measurable for all sets $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. A random measure $\eta : \Omega \rightarrow N(X)$ is called a Poisson point process with intensity measure μ if for $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ the random variable $\eta(A)$ is Poisson distributed with parameter $\mu(A)$, and the random variables $\eta(A_1), \dots, \eta(A_m)$ are independent for pairwise disjoint sets $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Since the intensity measure μ is non-atomic, the process is simple. Thus, we can view η as a set of points in X . An important property of Poisson point processes is Campbell's theorem (see Theorem 3.1.3 in [25]) saying that

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{E} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \int_{X^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

for $f \in L^1(X^k)$.

As usual, $L^p(X^k)$ stands for the space of all measurable functions $f : X^k \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ with

$$\int_{X^k} |f(x_1, \dots, x_k)|^p d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_k) < \infty,$$

where $d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ stands for $d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_k)$. Let $L_s^p(X^k)$ be the subset of symmetric functions in $L^p(X^k)$, which means that the functions are invariant under all permutations of the arguments. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm in $L^2(X^k)$ and by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the inner product in $L^2(X^k)$. Instead of the original probability

measure P we always use the image measure $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\eta$. In the following, $L^p(\mathbb{P})$ stands for the set of all measurable functions $F : N(X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\mathbb{E}|F|^p < \infty$.

2.2. Multiple Wiener-Itô integrals. Now we present the definition of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ following [28]. One starts with simple functions and extends the definition to arbitrary functions in $L_s^2(X^k)$. A function $f \in L^2(X^k)$ is called simple if

- (1) f is symmetric,
- (2) f is constant on a finite number of Cartesian products $B_1 \times \dots \times B_k \in \mathcal{B}(X)^k$ and vanishes elsewhere,
- (3) f vanishes on diagonals, that means $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$ if $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

Let $\mathcal{S}(X^k)$ be the space of all simple functions. For $f_0 \in \mathcal{S}(X^k)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the multiple Wiener-Itô integral $I_k(f_0)$ of f_0 with respect to the compensated Poisson point process $\eta - \mu$ is defined by

$$I_k(f_0) = \int_{X^k} f_0 d(\eta - \mu)^k = \sum f_0^{B_1 \times \dots \times B_k} (\eta - \mu)(B_1) \dots (\eta - \mu)(B_k),$$

where we sum over all Cartesian products and $f_0^{B_1 \times \dots \times B_k}$ is the constant value on such a set. For $k = 0$ we put $I_0(f) = f$. By a straight forward computation, one shows

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{E}I_k(f_0)^2 = k! \|f_0\|^2.$$

Thus, there is an isometry between $\mathcal{S}(X^k)$ and a subset of $L^2(\mathbb{P})$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}(X^k)$ is dense in $L_s^2(X^k)$, whence for every $f \in L_s^2(X^k)$ there is a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of simple functions with $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L_s^2(X^k)$. Because of the isometry (2), it is possible to define $I_k(f)$ as the limit of $(I_k(f_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$. Hence for an arbitrary symmetric function $f \in L_s^2(X^k)$ we put $f^0(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ if $x_i \neq x_j$ for all $i \neq j$ and $f^0(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$ otherwise and obtain

$$I_k(f) = \int_{X^k} f^0 d(\eta - \mu)^k.$$

We remark that the denseness of $\mathcal{S}(X^k)$ in $L_s^2(X^k)$ depends on the topological structure and the fact that μ is non-atomic. For a definition without these requirements we refer to [14].

It follows directly from the definition that multiple Wiener-Itô integrals have the properties summarized in the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in L_s^2(X^n)$ and $g \in L_s^2(X^m)$ with $m, n \geq 1$ and $n \neq m$. Then*

- (a) $\mathbb{E}I_n(f) = 0$,
- (b) $\mathbb{E}I_n(f)^2 = n! \|f\|^2$,
- (c) $\mathbb{E}I_n(f)I_m(g) = 0$.

2.3. Wiener-Itô chaos expansions. For a measurable function $F : N(X) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and $y \in X$ we define the difference operator (or add-one-cost-operator) as

$$D_y F(\eta) = F(\eta + \delta_y) - F(\eta),$$

where δ_y is the Dirac measure at the point y . The iterated difference operator is given by

$$D_{y_1, \dots, y_i} F = D_{y_1} D_{y_2, \dots, y_i} F.$$

We define functions $f_i : X^i \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ by $f_0 = \mathbb{E}F$ and

$$f_i(y_1, \dots, y_i) = \frac{1}{i!} \mathbb{E} D_{y_1, \dots, y_i} F, \quad i \geq 1,$$

which we call kernels of F . Because of the symmetry of the iterated difference operator f_i is symmetric. In [14], the following relationships between F , its kernels and its variance are shown.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $F \in L^2(\mathcal{P})$. Then $f_i \in L^2(X^i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and*

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} I_i(f_i),$$

where the sum converges in $L^2(\mathcal{P})$. The $f_i \in L^2(X^i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ are the μ -almost-everywhere unique $g_i \in L^2(X^i)$ satisfying $F = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} I_i(g_i)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{P})$. Furthermore,

$$\text{Var } F = \mathbb{E}F^2 - (\mathbb{E}F)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i! \|f_i\|^2.$$

The space of sequences $(g_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $g_i \in L^2(X^i)$ and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i! \|g_i\|^2 < \infty$$

is called Fock space. In this context, Proposition 2.2 states that there exists an isometry between $L^2(\mathcal{P})$ and the Fock space.

2.4. Malliavin calculus. Our proofs for central limit theorems are based on a result for the normal approximation of Poisson functionals from [19], which uses operators from Malliavin calculus. In the following, we give a short introduction to these operators. For more details we refer to [14], [18] or [19].

Let $F \in L^2(\mathcal{P})$ and f_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be the kernels of the Wiener-Itô chaos expansion of F . First of all we give an alternative definition of the difference operator D_y using the Wiener-Itô chaos expansion of F .

Definition 2.3. *Let*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i! \|f_i\|^2 < \infty.$$

Then the random function $y \mapsto D_y F, y \in X$ is given by

$$D_y F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i I_{i-1}(f_i(y, \cdot)).$$

It can be proved that for $F \in L^2(P_\eta)$ satisfying (3) this definition coincides with the one introduced in Section 2.3.

Definition 2.4. *If*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^2 i! \|f_i\|^2 < \infty,$$

then the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator LF is the random variable given by

$$LF = - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i I_i(f_i).$$

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator has an inverse operator. Its domain is the space of all centered $F \in L^2(\mathcal{P})$, e.g. those F with $\mathbb{E}F = 0$, and

$$L^{-1}F = - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i} I_i(f_i).$$

If F is in the domain of L , then the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator can be written as

$$(4) \quad LF = \int_X F(\eta - \delta_x) - F(\eta) d\eta(x) - \int_X (F(\eta) - F(\eta + \delta_z)) d\mu(z).$$

This follows from the representation of the difference operator and the Skorohod-integral (see [14], formula (3.19)), which is not used in this work. Whereas the difference operator, the Skorohod-integral, and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator have some geometric interpretation by adding a point to, or removing a point from the point process, it is an open question whether the inverse Ornstein-Uhlenbeck generator allows for geometric interpretations.

3. MALLIAVIN CALCULUS AND WIENER-ITÔ CHAOS EXPANSIONS FOR U-STATISTICS

In this section, we define U-statistics on Poisson point processes and investigate their Wiener-Itô chaos expansions. In particular, we apply the Malliavin operators to U-statistics and present explicit formulae for the kernels of the Wiener-Itô chaos expansion and the variance.

3.1. U-statistics on Poisson point processes.

Definition 3.1. *A random variable*

$$(5) \quad F = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

with $f \in L_s^1(X^k)$ is called *U-statistic of order k* .

By $\eta_{\neq}^k$ we denote the set $\{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta^k, x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$. It follows directly by the σ -finiteness of μ that we have a sequence $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\mu(K_n) < \infty$ and $K_n \uparrow X$. Thus the sets $\eta_{\neq}^k \cap K_n^k$ are almost surely finite and $\eta_{\neq}^k$ is almost surely countable. We mean with (5) the limit of

$$\sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k \cap K_n^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^1(\mathbb{P})$. By Campbell's theorem (1), it holds

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \int_X \dots \int_X f(x_1, \dots, x_k) d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

such that $f \in L^1(X^k)$ guarantees $F \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$. Due to the fact that we sum over all permutations of k points in (5), we can assume without loss of generality in Definition 3.1 that f is symmetric.

Since we want to use Wiener-Itô chaos expansions, we always require that F is in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$. For the central limit theorems we additionally assume that F is absolutely convergent.

Definition 3.2. *A U-statistic $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ is absolutely convergent if*

$$F' = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} |f(x_1, \dots, x_k)|$$

is in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$.

Obviously every $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ with $f \geq 0$ is absolutely convergent.

3.2. Malliavin calculus. We start by calculating the difference operator of a U-statistic F on a Poisson point process.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ be a U-statistic of order k . Then the difference operator applied to F gives*

$$D_{y_1} F = k \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \eta_{\neq}^{k-1}} f(y_1, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}).$$

Proof. By the definition of the difference operator D_y we obtain for a U-statistic

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{y_1}F &= \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in (\eta \cup \{y_1\})^k_{\neq}} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) - \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta^k_{\neq}} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\
&= \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \eta^{k-1}_{\neq}} (f(y_1, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) + \dots + f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_1)) \\
&= k \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \eta^{k-1}_{\neq}} f(y_1, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

An analogous straightforward computation using (4) verifies the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ be a U-statistic of order k . Then the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator applied to F gives*

$$LF = -kF + k \int_X \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \in \eta^{k-1}_{\neq}} f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, z) d\mu(z).$$

Without proof we also state the inverse Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator of a U-statistic.

$$\begin{aligned}
L^{-1}(F - \mathbb{E}F) &= \left(\sum_{m=1}^k \frac{1}{m} \right) \int_{X^k} f(y_1, \dots, y_k) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_k) \\
&\quad - \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{1}{m} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \eta^m_{\neq}} \int_{X^{k-m}} f(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_{k-m}) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{k-m}).
\end{aligned}$$

3.3. Wiener Itô chaos expansions. Let us now compute the kernels and the Wiener-Itô chaos expansion of a U-statistic $F = \sum f(\cdot)$ with $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ be a U-statistic of order k . Then the kernels of the Wiener-Itô chaos expansion of F have the form*

$$f_i(y_1, \dots, y_i) = \begin{cases} \binom{k}{i} \int_{X^{k-i}} f(y_1, \dots, y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}), & i \leq k \\ 0, & i > k \end{cases}$$

and F has the variance

$$\begin{aligned}
VF &= \sum_{i=1}^k i! \binom{k}{i}^2 \\
(6) \quad &\int_{X^i} \left(\int_{X^{k-i}} f(y_1, \dots, y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) \right)^2 d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_i).
\end{aligned}$$

For the special case $k = 2$ the formulae for the kernels are already implicit in the paper by Molchanov and Zuyev [16] where ideas closely related to Malliavin calculus have been used.

Proof. In Lemma 3.3 the difference operator of a U-statistic was computed. Proceeding by induction we get

$$D_{y_1, \dots, y_i} F = \frac{k!}{(k-i)!} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) \in \eta_{\neq}^{k-i}} f(y_1, \dots, y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i})$$

for $i \leq k$. Hence $D_{y_1, \dots, y_k} F$ only depends on $y_1, \dots, y_k$ and is independent of the Poisson point process. This yields

$$D_{y_1, \dots, y_{k+1}} F = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D_{y_1, \dots, y_i} F = 0$$

for all $i > k$. We just proved

$$(7) \quad D_{y_1, \dots, y_i} F = \begin{cases} \frac{k!}{(k-i)!} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) \in \eta_{\neq}^{k-i}} f(y_1, \dots, y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}), & i \leq k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Campbell's theorem (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(y_1, \dots, y_i) &= \frac{1}{i!} \mathbb{E} D_{y_1, \dots, y_i} F \\ &= \frac{1}{i!} \mathbb{E} \frac{k!}{(k-i)!} \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) \in \eta_{\neq}^{k-i}} f(y_1, \dots, y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) \\ &= \frac{k!}{i!(k-i)!} \int_{X^{k-i}} f(y_1, \dots, y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}). \end{aligned}$$

The formula for the variance follows by Proposition 2.2. $\square$

Note that $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ implies $f_i \in L^2(X^i)$, and thus that for all i

$$\int_{X^i} \left(\int_{X^{k-i}} f(y_1, \dots, y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) \right)^2 d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_i) < \infty.$$

In particular, it holds $f \in L^2(X^k)$.

By Lemma 3.5, U-statistics only have a finite number of non-vanishing kernels. The following theorem characterizes a U-statistics by this property. We call a Wiener-Itô chaos expansion finite if only a finite number of kernels do not vanish.

Theorem 3.6. *Assume $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$.*

- (1) *If F is a U-statistic, then F has a finite Wiener-Itô chaos expansion with kernels $f_i \in L^1(X^i) \cap L^2(X^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.*
- (2) *If F has a finite Wiener-Itô chaos expansion with kernels $f_i \in L^1(X^i) \cap L^2(X^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, then F is a (finite) sum of U-statistics and a constant.*

Proof. The fact that a U-statistic F has a finite Wiener-Itô chaos expansion with $f_i \in L^1(X^i)$ follows by Lemma 3.5 and from $f \in L^1(X^k)$.

For the second part of the proof let $F \in L^2(\mathcal{P})$ have a finite Wiener-Itô chaos expansion, e.g.

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^m I_i(f_i)$$

with kernels $f_i \in L_s^1(X^i) \cap L_s^2(X^i)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Our proof is based on the fact that the k -th kernel of a U-statistic of order k is f . By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$F^{(m)} := \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \eta_{\neq}^m} f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{i=0}^m I_i(f_i^{(m)})$$

with kernels

$$f_i^{(m)} = \binom{m}{i} \int_{X^{m-i}} f_m(x_1, \dots, x_i, y_1, \dots, y_{m-i}) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_i).$$

In particular, $f_m^{(m)} = f_m$. Using this observation, we can write F as

$$F = F^{(m)} + (F - F^{(m)}) = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \eta_{\neq}^m} f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} I_i(\tilde{f}_i)$$

with $\tilde{f}_i = f_i - f_i^{(m)}$. By repeating this step for $m-1, m-2, \dots$, we obtain a representation of F as a sum of at most m U-statistics and a constant.

The second part of Theorem 3.6 can also be deduced from Proposition 4.1 in [28], which says in our terminology that every $I_n(g)$ with $g \in L^1(X^n) \cap L^2(X^n)$ has a representation as finite sum of U-statistics. $\square$

3.4. The following examples show that the assumptions on F and f_i in Theorem 3.6 are necessary. In all examples, we consider a Poisson point process in $\mathbb{R}$ with the Lebesgue measure as intensity measure.

Example: There exist random variables in $L^2(\mathcal{P})$ with finite Wiener-Itô chaos expansions which are not sums of U-statistics. This is possible if the kernels f_i are in $L^2(X^i) \setminus L^1(X^i)$. Define $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{x} \mathbb{I}(|x| > 1)$$

which is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Now we define the random variable $G = I_1(g)$. G is in $L^2(\mathcal{P})$ and has a finite Wiener-Itô chaos expansion. But the representation

$$\sum_{x \in \eta} g(x) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx$$

we used in the proof of Theorem 3.6 fails because the integral does not exist.

Example: There also exist U-statistics $F \in L^1(\mathbb{P})$ with $f \in L^1(X^k) \cap L^2(X^k)$ which are not in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$. We construct $f \in L_s^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $\|f_1\| = \infty$ by putting

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \mathbb{1}(0 \leq x_1 \sqrt{x_2} \leq 1) \mathbb{1}(0 \leq x_2 \sqrt{x_1} \leq 1)$$

and

$$F = \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in \eta_{\neq}^2} f(x_1, x_2).$$

In this case the first kernel

$$f_1(y) = \mathbb{E}[2 \sum_{x \in \eta} f(y, x)] = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y, x) dx = 2 \mathbb{1}(y \geq 0) \min \left\{ \frac{1}{y^2}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \right\}$$

is not in $L_s^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that F has no Wiener-Itô chaos expansion and cannot be in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$.

Example: By Theorem 3.6 (2), a functional $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ with a finite Wiener-Itô chaos expansion and kernels $f_i \in L^1(X^i) \cap L^2(X^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, is a (finite) sum of U-statistics. Our next example shows that neither the single U-statistics nor the summands are necessarily in $L^2(X^j)$. Set $F = I_2(f)$ with f as above. Then

$$I_2(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y) dx dy - 2 \sum_{x \in \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, y) dy + \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in \eta_{\neq}^2} f(x_1, x_2)$$

and F is a sum of U-statistics. Since $\mathbb{E}[(\sum_{x \in \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, y) dy)^2] = \infty$, we know that

$$\sum_{x \in \eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, y) dy$$

is a U-statistic but not in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$.

Example: To motivate the definition of an absolutely convergent U-statistic, we give an example of a U-statistic that is in $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ but not absolutely convergent. Similiar as in the previous examples, we set

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \mathbb{1}(0 \leq |x_1| \sqrt{|x_2|} \leq 1) \mathbb{1}(0 \leq |x_2| \sqrt{|x_1|} \leq 1) (2 \mathbb{1}(x_1 x_2 \geq 0) - 1)$$

and

$$F = \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in \eta_{\neq}^2} f(x_1, x_2) \text{ and } F' = \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in \eta_{\neq}^2} |f(x_1, x_2)|.$$

Now it is easy to verify that $f_1(x) = 0$ and $f_2(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1, x_2)$ such that $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$. But the first kernel of F' is not in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $F' \notin L^2(\mathbb{P})$.

4. CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREMS FOR U-STATISTICS

In this section, we derive a central limit theorem for U-statistics of Poisson point processes. In particular, we are interested in the Wasserstein distance of a normalized U-statistic and a standard Gaussian random variable. Recall that the Wasserstein distance $d_W(Y, Z)$ of two random variables Y and Z is given by

$$d_W(Y, Z) = \sup_{h \in Lip(1)} |\mathbb{E}h(Y) - \mathbb{E}h(Z)|,$$

where $Lip(1)$ is the set of all functions $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with a Lipschitz-constant less or equal than one. It is important to note that convergence in the Wasserstein distance implies convergence in distributions. In particular, it is known (see [5] for example) that for a Gaussian random variable N we have

$$|\mathbb{P}(Y \leq t) - \mathbb{P}(N \leq t)| \leq 2\sqrt{d_W(Y, N)}$$

for all t . Hence, we can prove central limit theorems by showing convergence to a Gaussian random variable in the Wasserstein distance.

Our main estimate for the distance between $F = \sum f$ and a Gaussian random variable is Theorem 4.7 which states that

$$d_W\left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, N\right) \leq 2k^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{M_{ij}(f)}}{\text{Var } F}$$

where N is a standard Gaussian random variable and M_{ij} are sums of certain fourth moment integrals. The precise definition is given in formula (16). In most applications it is elementary to bound these fourth moments of f . This is carried out in Section 5 and Section 6.

4.1. An abstract CLT. Our most general result is the following upper bound for the Wasserstein distance of a Poisson functional with a finite Wiener-Itô chaos expansion and a standard Gaussian random variable. To neatly formulate our results and proofs, we use the abbreviations

$$(8) \quad R_{ij} = \mathbb{E}\langle I_{i-1}(f_i(s, \cdot)), I_{j-1}(f_j(s, \cdot)) \rangle^2 - \left[\mathbb{E}\langle I_{i-1}(f_i(s, \cdot)), I_{j-1}(f_j(s, \cdot)) \rangle \right]^2$$

$$(9) \quad \tilde{R}_i = \mathbb{E}\langle I_{i-1}(f_i(s, \cdot))^2, I_{i-1}(f_i(s, \cdot))^2 \rangle$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. Note that $R_{11} = 0$ and that for $i \neq j$ the second expectation in R_{ij} vanishes.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ has a finite Wiener-Itô chaos expansion of order k , and N is a standard Gaussian random variable. Then*

$$(10) \quad d_W\left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, N\right) \leq k \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{R_{ij}}}{\text{Var } F} + k^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{R}_i}}{\text{Var } F}$$

with R_{ij} and $\tilde{R}_i$ defined in (8) and (9).

Proof. Our proof is based on the following result (Theorem 3.1 in [19]), which is derived by a combination of Malliavin calculus and Stein's method.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $G \in L^2(\mathcal{P})$ with $\mathbb{E}G = 0$ be in the domain of D and N be a standard Gaussian random variable. Then*

$$(11) \quad d_W(G, N) \leq \mathbb{E}|1 - \langle DG, -DL^{-1}G \rangle| + \int_X \mathbb{E}[|D_z G|^2 |D_z L^{-1}G|] d\mu(z) \\ \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(1 - \langle DG, -DL^{-1}G \rangle)^2} + \int_X \mathbb{E}[|D_z G|^2 |D_z L^{-1}G|] d\mu(z).$$

From now on, we denote by

$$G = \frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}$$

the normalization of F and by $g_i \in L_s^2(X^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, the kernels of G . Thus, it follows

$$g_i(x_1, \dots, x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}} f_i(x_1, \dots, x_i)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $\text{Var } G = \sum_{i=1}^k i! \|g_i\|_i^2 = 1$.

Since F is a U-statistic and thus has a finite Wiener-Itô chaos expansion, F is in the domain of D . We apply the above theorem and obtain by the definitions of the Malliavin operators and the triangle inequality

$$\mathbb{E}|1 - \langle DG, -DL^{-1}G \rangle| = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k i! \|g_i\|^2 - \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k i I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)), \sum_{i=1}^k I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)) \right\rangle \right| \\ \leq \sum_{i=2}^k \mathbb{E} \left| i! \|g_i\|^2 - i \langle I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)), I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)) \rangle \right| \\ + \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^k i \mathbb{E} |\langle I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)), I_{j-1}(g_j(z, \cdot)) \rangle|.$$

The first sum on the right hand side of the inequality starts with $i = 2$ since the summand for $i = 1$ vanishes. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality leads to

$$\mathbb{E} |i! \|g_i\|^2 - i \langle I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)), I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)) \rangle| \\ \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} (i! \|g_i\|^2 - i \langle I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)), I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)) \rangle)^2} \\ = \sqrt{i^2 \mathbb{E} \langle I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)), I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)) \rangle^2 - (i!)^2 \|g_i\|^4} = i \frac{\sqrt{R_{ii}}}{\text{Var } F}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} |\langle I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)), I_{j-1}(g_j(z, \cdot)) \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \langle I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot)), I_{j-1}(g_j(z, \cdot)) \rangle^2} = \frac{\sqrt{R_{ij}}}{\text{Var } F}$$

and we see that

$$(12) \quad \mathbb{E} |1 - \langle DG, -DL^{-1}G \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=2}^k i \frac{\sqrt{R_{ii}}}{\text{Var } F} + \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^k i \frac{\sqrt{R_{ij}}}{\text{Var } F} \leq k \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{R_{ij}}}{\text{Var } F}.$$

Furthermore, again by the Cauchy-Schwarz-inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \mathbb{E}[(D_z G)^2 |D_z L^{-1}G|] d\mu(z) \\ & \leq \left(\int_X \mathbb{E}[(D_z G)^4] d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_X \mathbb{E}[(D_z L^{-1}G)^2] d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definitions of the Malliavin operators and Hölder's inequality, we can rewrite the expressions on the right hand side as

$$\int_X \mathbb{E}[(D_z L^{-1}G)^2] d\mu(z) = \int_X \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}[I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot))^2] d\mu(z) = \sum_{i=1}^k (i-1)! \|g_i\|^2 \leq 1$$

and

$$\int_X \mathbb{E}[(D_z G)^4] d\mu(z) \leq \int_X k^3 \sum_{i=1}^k i^4 \mathbb{E}[I_{i-1}(g_i(z, \cdot))^4] d\mu(z) = k^3 \sum_{i=1}^k i^4 \frac{\tilde{R}_i}{(\text{Var } F)^2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad \int_X \mathbb{E}[(D_z G)^2 |D_z L^{-1}G|] d\mu(z) & \leq \sqrt{k^3 \sum_{i=1}^k i^4 \frac{\tilde{R}_i}{(\text{Var } F)^2}} \\ & \leq k \sqrt{k} \sum_{i=1}^k i^2 \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{R}_i}}{\text{Var } F} \leq k^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{R}_i}}{\text{Var } F}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining Theorem 4.2 with formulae (12) and (13) gives the left hand side of Theorem 4.1. $\square$

4.2. Estimates for the error terms. To estimate the right hand side of (10) in terms of the function f (recall that $F = \sum_{\eta \neq \emptyset} f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$), we are interested in the behavior of R_{ij} and $\tilde{R}_i$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. Thus, we need to compute expected values of the type

$$\mathbb{E} \prod_{l=1}^m I_{n_l}(f_l), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n_1, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{N}$$

with $f_l \in L^1(X^{n_l}) \cap L^2(X^{n_l})$ for $l = 1, \dots, m$. Such products of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals are discussed in [20] and [28]. Before stating a result for the expected

value of such a product, we introduce some notation. The function

$$\prod_{l=1}^m f_l(z_1^{(l)}, \dots, z_{n_l}^{(l)})$$

is a function of the variables $z_1^{(1)}, \dots, z_{n_1}^{(1)}, \dots, z_1^{(m)}, \dots, z_{n_m}^{(m)} \in X$.

Definition 4.3. Let Π be the set of all partitions of the variables $z_1^{(1)}, \dots, z_{n_m}^{(m)}$ such that two variables $z_i^{(l)}$ and $z_j^{(l)}$ with $i \neq j$ but the same upper index (l) are always in different subsets, and such that every subset has at least two elements.

Observe that by definition each subset has at least two and at most m elements each of them with different upper index (l) . Subsequently also the following subset of Π will play a central role.

Definition 4.4. Let $\bar{\Pi} \subset \Pi$ be the set of all partitions such that for any $\pi \in \bar{\Pi}$ and any decomposition of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ into two disjoint sets M_1, M_2 there are $l_1 \in M_1, l_2 \in M_2$ and two variables $z_i^{(l_1)}, z_j^{(l_2)}$ which are in the same subset of π .

In the following, we mean exactly this property if we say that the variables of a partition $\pi \in \bar{\Pi}$ are connected.

By $|\pi|$ we denote the number of subsets of the partition π . For every partition $\pi \in \Pi$ we define an operator R^π that replaces all variables $z_1^{(1)}, \dots, z_{n_m}^{(m)}$ in $\prod f_l(z_1^{(l)}, \dots, z_{n_l}^{(l)})$ that belong to the same subset of π by a new variable y_k , $k = 1, \dots, |\pi|$. We write $R^\pi(\prod f_l(\cdot))$ for this new function which is a function of the variables $(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|})$.

For the product of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals the following proposition holds (see Corollary 7.2 in [20]).

Proposition 4.5. Let $f_l \in \mathcal{S}(X^k)$ for $l = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$(14) \quad \mathbb{E} \prod_{l=1}^m I_{n_l}(f_l) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{X^{|\pi|}}} \int R^\pi(\prod_{l=1}^m f_l(\cdot))(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}).$$

As a consequence of Proposition 3.1 in [28], equation (14) is also true for $f_l \in L_s^2(X^k)$ satisfying

$$(15) \quad R^\pi(\prod_{l=1}^m f_l) \in L^2(X^{|\pi|})$$

for arbitrary partitions π including even those which have subsets of size one. For some classes of functions f_l it is obvious that (15) holds, for example if the f_l are bounded and have a support of finite measure. But in general it is difficult to verify condition (15).

In order to avoid this problem, we approximate a general U-statistic by a sequence of U-statistics, whose kernels are simple functions, and apply Proposition

4.5. Afterwards, we extend our results to the original U-statistic. From now on, we assume that F is an absolutely convergent U-statistic.

Because of $f \in L^1(X^k)$, there exists a sequence $(f^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions in $\mathcal{S}(X^k)$ such that $|f^{(n)}| \leq |f|$ μ^k -almost everywhere and $(f^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to f μ^k -almost-everywhere on X^k . We define U-statistics $F^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$F^{(n)} = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} f^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

Since $(f^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges μ^k -almost-everywhere on X^k to f ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(x_1, \dots, x_k) \text{ for all } (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k$$

holds with probability 1. Furthermore, the absolute convergence of F implies

$$|F^{(n)}| \leq \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} |f^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_k)| \leq \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} |f(x_1, \dots, x_k)| \in L^2(\mathcal{P}).$$

Hence $(F^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges almost surely to F . Moreover, $F^{(n)} \in L^2(\mathcal{P})$ and every $F^{(n)}$ has a Wiener-Itô chaos expansion with kernels $f_i^{(n)}$ that are simple functions since integration over a variable of a simple function leads to a simple function.

The fact that the kernels of $F^{(n)}$ are simple functions brings us in the position to use Proposition 4.5 to evaluate R_{ij} and $\tilde{R}_i$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, k$. We start by estimating R_{ii} . By (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ii} &= \int_{X^2} \mathbb{E} I_{i-1}(f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot))^2 I_{i-1}(f_i^{(n)}(t, \cdot))^2 d\mu(s, t) - \left[(i-1)! \|f_i^{(n)}\|^2 \right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{X^{|\pi|+2}}} \int R^\pi \left(f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(t, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(t, \cdot) \right) (y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) \\ &\quad d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}, s, t) \\ &\quad - \left[(i-1)! \|f_i^{(n)}\|^2 \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

The sum over those partitions of Π such that every subset contains only variables of the first pair or of the second pair of functions leads exactly to $[(i-1)! \|f_i^{(n)}\|^2]^2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ii} &= \sum_{X^{|\pi|+2}} \int R^\pi \left(f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(t, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(t, \cdot) \right) (y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) \\ &\quad d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}, s, t) \\ &\leq \sum_{X^{|\pi|+2}} \int R^\pi \left(|f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(t, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(t, \cdot)| \right) (y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}, s, t), \end{aligned}$$

where we sum only over those partitions $\pi \in \Pi$ with at least two variables $z_{i_1}^{(l_1)}$ and $z_{i_2}^{(l_2)}$, $l_1 \in \{1, 2\}$, $l_2 \in \{3, 4\}$, in the same subset of π .

To make our notation for the moment more precise, we write Π_j if all functions in the product have exactly j variables. And, in order to simplify our notation, we include s and t in the partitions. The partition $\pi \in \Pi_{i-1}$ acts on the set of variables $z_1^{(1)}, \dots, z_{i-1}^{(4)}$, and R^π replaces all variables in the k -th subset by y_k . After including s, t into our partition the 'extended' partition π' acts on the variables $z_0^{(1)}, \dots, z_{i-1}^{(4)}$ - thus including additional variables $z_0^{(1)}, \dots, z_0^{(4)}$ - and partitions them into $\pi' = \{\{z_0^{(1)}, z_0^{(2)}\}, \{z_0^{(3)}, z_0^{(4)}\}\} \cup \pi$. The operator $R^{\pi'}$ replaces $\{z_0^{(1)}, z_0^{(2)}\}$ by s , $\{z_0^{(3)}, z_0^{(4)}\}$ by t , and the k -th subset of π by y_k . Note that now all four sets of variables are connected, and the 'extended' partition π' is in $\overline{\Pi}_i$. By summing over all partitions in $\overline{\Pi}_i$ and writing $\overline{\Pi}_i = \overline{\Pi}$, we obtain the upper bound

$$R_{ii} \leq \sum_{\pi \in \overline{\Pi}} \int_{X^{|\pi|}} R^\pi \left(|f_i^n(\cdot) f_i^n(\cdot) f_i^n(\cdot) f_i^n(\cdot)| \right) (y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}).$$

In the very same way we obtain an upper bound for R_{ij} , $i \neq j$. By (14) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ij} &= \int_{X^2} \mathbb{E} \left[I_{i-1}(f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot)) I_{i-1}(f_i^{(n)}(t, \cdot)) I_{j-1}(f_j^{(n)}(s, \cdot)) I_{j-1}(f_j^{(n)}(t, \cdot)) \right] d\mu(t, s) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \int_{X^{|\pi|+2}} R^\pi \left(f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(t, \cdot) f_j^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_j^{(n)}(t, \cdot) \right) (y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}, s, t) \\ &\leq \sum_{\pi \in \overline{\Pi}} \int_{X^{|\pi|}} R^\pi \left(|f_i^{(n)}(\cdot) f_i^{(n)}(\cdot) f_j^{(n)}(\cdot) f_j^{(n)}(\cdot)| \right) (y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we introduced the 'extended' partition $\pi' = \{\{z_0^{(1)}, z_0^{(3)}\}, \{z_0^{(2)}, z_0^{(4)}\}\} \cup \pi$ with $\pi \in \Pi = \Pi_{i-1, j-1}$, the indices denoting the number of variables. The first two sets connect the sets (1) and (3), resp. (2) and (4) of variables, and since $i \neq j$ the partition π cannot contain only subsets of the form $\{z_i^{(1)}, z_j^{(3)}\}$. Thus all four sets of variables are connected and $\pi' \in \overline{\Pi}_{ij} = \overline{\Pi}$ and we get an upper bound by summing over $\overline{\Pi}$.

The last step is to estimate $\tilde{R}_i$. Here, we have in a similar way

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_i &= \int_X \mathbb{E} I_{i-1}(f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot))^4 d\mu(s) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} \int_{X^{|\pi|+1}} R^\pi(f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot) f_i^{(n)}(s, \cdot))(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}, s) \\ &\leq \sum_{\pi \in \bar{\Pi}} \int_{X^{|\pi|}} R^\pi(|f_i^{(n)}(\cdot) f_i^{(n)}(\cdot) f_i^{(n)}(\cdot) f_i^{(n)}(\cdot)|)(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) \end{aligned}$$

In this case it is immediate that all four sets of variables are connected. Thus R_{ij} and $\tilde{R}_i$ are bounded by the same expressions.

In all these cases it remains to estimate the kernels $f_i^{(n)}$. This is done using Lemma 3.5.

$$|f_i^{(n)}(y_1, \dots, y_i)| \leq \binom{k}{i} \int_{X^{k-i}} |f^{(n)}(y_1, \dots, y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i})| d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}),$$

We obtain the following expression as an upper bound for R_{ij} and $\tilde{R}_i$. With $M_{ij}(\cdot)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ij}(g) &= \binom{k}{i}^2 \binom{k}{j}^2 \sum_{\pi \in \bar{\Pi}_{X^{|\pi|}}} \int_{X^{2(k-j)}} \int_{X^{2(k-i)}} \\ (16) \quad &R^\pi \left(\left| \prod_{l=1}^2 g(\cdot, x_1^{(l)}, \dots, x_{k-i}^{(l)}) \prod_{l=3}^4 g(\cdot, x_1^{(l)}, \dots, x_{k-j}^{(l)}) \right| \right) (y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) \\ &d\mu(x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{k-j}^{(4)}, y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}), \end{aligned}$$

where R^π acts on the first i , resp. j variables of $g : X^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$R_{ij} \leq M_{ij}(f^{(n)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{R}_i \leq M_{ii}(f^{(n)}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq k.$$

Since in the definition of M_{ij} every subset of a partition $\pi \in \bar{\Pi}$ has at least two elements, the integration in (16) runs over at most $4k - i - j$ variables. For $i = j = 1$ the only (connected!) partition in $\bar{\Pi}$ is the partition with one subset and the integration runs over $4k - 3$ variables. This observation will be important in Section 5.

Combining our bounds with Theorem 4.1 yields:

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose $F^{(n)} = \sum f^{(n)}$ is a U -statistic of order k with $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}(X^k)$, and N a standard Gaussian random variable. Then*

$$(17) \quad d_W \left(\frac{F^{(n)} - \mathbb{E} F^{(n)}}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F^{(n)}}}, N \right) \leq 2k^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{M_{ij}(f^{(n)})}}{\text{Var } F^{(n)}}.$$

Assume that the original U-statistic F is absolutely convergent. Then the integrals

$$\int_{X^i} \left(\int_{X^{k-i}} |f(y_1, \dots, y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i})| d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) \right)^2 d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_i)$$

exist for $0 \leq i \leq k$ and we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to show $\|f_i^{(n)}\|^2 \rightarrow \|f_i\|_i^2$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we obtain $\mathbb{E}F^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}F$, $\mathbb{E}(F^{(n)})^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{E}F^2$ and hence $\text{Var } F^{(n)} \rightarrow \text{Var } F$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. The almost sure convergence of $F^{(n)}$ to F leads to

$$\frac{F^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}F^{(n)}}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F^{(n)}}} \rightarrow \frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}} \text{ a. s. for } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here, almost sure convergence implies convergence in the Wasserstein distance. Furthermore, by $|f^{(n)}| \leq |f|$, we have $M_{ij}(f^{(n)}) \leq M_{ij}(f)$. Thus, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} d_W \left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, N \right) &\leq d_W \left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, \frac{F^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}F^{(n)}}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F^{(n)}}} \right) + d_W \left(\frac{F^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}F^{(n)}}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F^{(n)}}}, N \right) \\ &\leq d_W \left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, \frac{F^{(n)} - \mathbb{E}F^{(n)}}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F^{(n)}}} \right) + 2k^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{M_{ij}(f)}}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F^{(n)}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By $n \rightarrow \infty$ on the right hand side we get our main theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ is an absolutely convergent U-statistic of order k and N is a standard Gaussian random variable. Then*

$$(18) \quad d_W \left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, N \right) \leq 2k^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{M_{ij}(f)}}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}$$

with $M_{ij}(f)$ defined in (16).

5. GEOMETRIC U-STATISTICS

5.1. Central limit theorems for geometric U-statistics. In this section, we assume that our intensity measure has the form

$$(19) \quad \mu(\cdot) = \lambda \theta(\cdot)$$

with a σ -finite non-atomic measure $\theta(\cdot)$ and $\lambda \in [1, \infty)$. We are interested in the behavior of the U-statistic F for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 5.1. *A U-statistic $F = \sum f$ is a geometric U-statistic if it satisfies*

$$(20) \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = g(\lambda) \tilde{f}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

with $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{f} : X^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ independent of λ .

By $\tilde{M}_{ij}$ we denote the value of $M_{ij}(\tilde{f})$, which is defined in (16), for $\lambda = 1$. With this notation, the following central limit theorem holds:

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ is an absolutely convergent geometric U-statistic with $\|f_1\|^2 > 0$ and N is a standard Gaussian random variable. Then*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Var } F}{\lambda^{2k-1} g(\lambda)^2} = k^2 \int_X \left(\int_{X^{k-1}} \tilde{f}(y, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) d\theta(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \right)^2 \theta(dy) =: \tilde{V}$$

with $\tilde{V} > 0$, and

$$(21) \quad d_W \left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, N \right) \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(2k^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{\tilde{M}_{ij}}}{\tilde{V}} \right)$$

for $\lambda \geq 1$.

The main feature of this theorem is that the term in brackets is independent of λ , which means that for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ the distance to the Gaussian distribution tends to zero at a rate $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proof. Because we are interested in the standardized variable $(F - \mathbb{E}F)/\sqrt{\text{Var } F}$ which is independent of $g(\lambda)$, w.l.o.g. we put $g(\lambda) = 1$ and $\tilde{f} = f$.

From formula (6) we infer

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var } F &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^{2k-i} i! \binom{k}{i}^2 \\ &\quad \int_{X^i} \left(\int_{X^{k-i}} f(y_1, \dots, y_i, x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) d\theta(x_1, \dots, x_{k-i}) \right)^2 d\theta(y_1, \dots, y_i), \end{aligned}$$

which means that the variance is a polynomial of degree $2k-1$ with the leading coefficient $\tilde{V} \lambda^{2k-1} = \|f_1\|^2 > 0$ and $\text{Var } F \geq \tilde{V} \lambda^{2k-1}$.

As previously mentioned, the integration in $M_{ij}(f)$ runs over at most $4k-i-j \leq 4k-3$ variables for $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$ and $4k-3$ variables for $(i, j) = (1, 1)$ and we see that

$$M_{ij}(f) \leq \tilde{M}_{ij} \lambda^{4k-3}$$

for $\lambda \geq 1$. Hence Theorem 4.7 leads directly to (21). $\square$

Example: The assumption $\|f_1\| > 0$ is necessary as can be seen from the following example: Let η be a Poisson process on $[-1, 1]$ with intensity rate $\lambda > 0$. We define the U-statistic $F = \sum_{(x_1, x_2) \in \eta_{\neq}^2} f(x_1, x_2)$ with

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & x_1 x_2 \geq 0 \\ -1, & x_1 x_2 < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Obviously, we obtain $f_1(y) = 0$. It is possible to rewrite F as $F = L(L-1) + R(R-1) - 2LR$ where L and R are the number of points in $[-1, 0]$ and $[0, 1]$,

respectively. This brings us in the position to compute the moments. Elementary calculations show that the variance equals $8\lambda^2$, and the third moment of F is $64\lambda^3$. Thus the third moment of $(F - \mathbb{E}F)/\sqrt{\text{Var } F}$ tends to a constant and hence is too large for convergence of F to a Gaussian distribution.

5.2. Central limit theorems for Poisson hyperplanes. We use Theorem 5.2 to establish central limit theorems for Poisson hyperplane processes. Let η be a Poisson process on the space $\mathcal{H}$ of all hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with an intensity measure of the form

$$\mu(\cdot) = \lambda\theta(\cdot)$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and a σ -finite non-atomic measure θ . The Poisson hyperplane process is only observed in a bounded window $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Thus, we can view η as a Poisson process in the set $[W]$ defined by

$$[W] = \{h \in \mathcal{H} : h \cap W \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Given the hyperplane process η , we investigate the $(d - k)$ -flats in W which occur as the intersection of k hyperplanes of η . In particular, we are interested in the sum of their i -th intrinsic volumes given by

$$\Phi_i^k(W) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{(h_1, \dots, h_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} V_i(h_1 \cap \dots \cap h_k \cap W)$$

for $i = 0, \dots, d - k$ and $k = 1, \dots, d$. For the definition of the i -th intrinsic volume $V_i(\cdot)$ we refer to [24]. We remark that $V_0(K)$ is the Euler characteristic of the set K , and that $V_n(K)$ of an n -dimensional convex set K is the Lebesgue measure $\Lambda_n(K)$. Thus Φ_0^k is the number of $(d - k)$ -flats in W and Φ_{d-k}^k is their $(d - k)$ -volume. To ensure that the expectations of these random variables are neither 0 nor infinite, intersections must occur with positive probability and must be at most $d - k$ dimensional with probability one. For example, this is guaranteed if the hyperplane process is stationary and the direction distribution is not concentrated on a great subsphere.

The fact that the summands in the definitions of Φ_i^k are bounded and have a bounded support makes sure that the fourth moments in $M_{ij}(\cdot)$ are finite and we can apply Theorem 5.2:

Theorem 5.3. *Let N be a standard Gaussian random variable. Then constants $c_\Phi(W, k, i)$ exist such that*

$$d_W \left(\frac{\Phi_i^k(W) - \mathbb{E} \Phi_i^k(W)}{\sqrt{\text{Var } \Phi_i^k(W)}}, N \right) \leq c_\Phi(W, k, i) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

for $\lambda \geq 1$ and $i = 1, \dots, d - k, k = 1, \dots, d$.

Furthermore, the asymptotic variances are given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Var } \Phi_i^k(W)}{\lambda^{2k-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k-1)!^2} \int_{[W]} \left(\int_{[W]^{k-1}} V_i(h \cap h_1 \cap \dots \cap h_{k-1} \cap W) d\theta(h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) \right)^2 d\theta(h). \end{aligned}$$

Similar results are derived in [7] and [8] by Hoeffding's decomposition of classical U-statistics. In [26], the Wiener-Itô chaos expansion is used to compute the moments and cumulants and to formulate central limit theorems for the surface area of Poisson hyperplanes in an increasing window.

5.3. U-Statistics of Poisson-distributed points in a convex set. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex body and η be a Poisson point process on K with an intensity-measure of the form $\mu(\cdot) = \lambda\theta(\cdot)$ with $\lambda \geq 1$ and a σ -finite non-atomic measure $\theta(\cdot)$ with $\theta(K) < \infty$. We can choose k different points of η and apply a function $f : K^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which does not depend on the intensity rate λ , to them. For example, we can construct the convex hull $\text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$, which is a random polytope, and compute the volume, the surface area or the number of facets of the polytope. By summing over all possible combinations of points, we obtain a U-statistic

$$F = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

The expected value of F is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}F &= \int_{K^k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ &= \mu(K)^k \mathbb{E}f(X_1, \dots, X_k), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_1, \dots, X_k$ are random points in K with the distribution

$$P(X_i \in A) = \frac{\theta(A)}{\theta(K)}.$$

In case that $\theta(\cdot)$ is the d -dimensional Lebesgue measure $\Lambda_d(\cdot)$, the X_i are uniformly distributed in K .

From Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 5.2, we deduce that the variance of F behaves asymptotically as

$$\|f_1\|^2 = \lambda^{2k-1} k^2 \int_K \left(\int_{K^{k-1}} f(y, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) d\theta(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \right)^2 d\theta(y)$$

and, moreover, the following central limit theorem holds:

Proposition 5.4. *Let Y be a standard Gaussian random variable. Then there is a constant C_f with*

$$d_W \left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E}F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, Y \right) \leq C_f \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

As an example, we consider the following functional related to Sylvester's problem

$$H = \sum_{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \eta_{\neq}^k} h(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

with

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \mathbb{1}(x_1, \dots, x_k \text{ are vertices of } \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_k)),$$

which counts the number of k -tuples of the process such that every point is a vertex of the convex hull, i.e., the number of k -tuples in convex position. Furthermore, we assume that $\theta(\cdot)$ is the Lebesgue measure $\Lambda_d(\cdot)$, and that $\Lambda_d(K) = 1$ so that $\mu(K) = \lambda$. If we integrate with respect to Λ_d , we omit the measure in our notation. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}H &= \lambda^k \int_{K^k} h(x_1, \dots, x_k) d(x_1, \dots, x_k) \\ &= \lambda^k \mathbb{P}(X_1, \dots, X_k \text{ are vertices of } \text{conv}(X_1, \dots, X_k)) = \lambda^k p^{(k)}(K), \end{aligned}$$

where $X_1, \dots, X_k$ are uniformly distributed points in K . The question to determine the probability $p^{(k)}(K)$ that k random points in a convex set K are in convex position has a long history, see e.g. the more recent developments by Bárány [1], [2] and Buchta [4]. In our setting the function H is an estimator for the probability $p^{(k)}(K)$ and we are interested in distributional properties of this estimator. By Proposition 5.4 we immediately get

$$d_W \left(\frac{H - \mathbb{E}H}{\sqrt{\text{Var } H}}, N \right) \leq C \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

which proves that the estimator H is asymptotically Gaussian. Furthermore, for $\|f_1\|^2$, which determines the asymptotic behavior of $\text{Var } H$, we obtain by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_1\|^2 &= k^2 \int_K \left(\int_{K^{k-1}} h(y, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \right)^2 d\mu(y) \\ &\leq k^2 \int_K \mu(K)^{k-1} \int_{K^{k-1}} h(y, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})^2 d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) d\mu(y) \\ &= k^2 \lambda^{k-1} \int_K \int_{K^{k-1}} h(y, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) \lambda^k d(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) dy \\ &= k^2 \lambda^{2k-1} p^{(k)}(K) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
k^2 \lambda^{2k} p^{(k)}(K)^2 &= k^2 (\mathbb{E} H)^2 = k^2 \left(\int_{K^k} h(x_1, \dots, x_k) d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_k) \right)^2 \\
&\leq k^2 \mu(K) \int_K \left(\int_{K^{k-1}} h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) d\mu(x_2, \dots, x_k) \right)^2 d\mu(x_1) \\
&= \lambda \|f_1\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which lead to the bounds $k^2 p^{(k)}(K)^2 \lambda^{2k-1} \leq \|f_1\|^2 \leq k^2 p^{(k)}(K) \lambda^{2k-1}$.

6. LOCAL U-STATISTICS

6.1. Central limit theorems for local U-Statistics. For a geometric U-statistic the function f is independent of λ . Now we allow that f is influenced by λ , but we assume that a k -tupel of points is only in the support of f if the points are close together.

From now on, let X be a metric space and denote by $B(y, r)$ the ball with center y and radius r . Again, we assume that the intensity measure μ has the form $\mu(\cdot) = \lambda \theta(\cdot)$ with $\lambda \geq 1$ and a σ -finite non-atomic measure $\theta(\cdot)$ on X . We denote the diameter of $A \subset X$ by $\text{diam}(A)$.

We call a U-statistic F with a function $f : X^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ *local* if it satisfies

$$(22) \quad f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \text{diam}(\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}) > \delta$$

with δ depending on λ and $\delta \rightarrow 0$ for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

In order to simplify the following theorem, we define the functions $\tilde{f}_i : X^i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\tilde{f}_i = \frac{1}{\lambda^{k-i}} f_i,$$

which is equivalent to integrate with the measure θ instead of μ in the definition of the kernels f_i . We denote the L^2 -norm on X^i with respect to the measure $\theta(\cdot)$ by $\|\cdot\|_\theta$. Now we can rephrase Theorem 4.7 for local U-statistics as follows:

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose $F \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ is an absolutely convergent local U-statistic with $\|f_1\|^2 > 0$ and N is a standard Gaussian random variable. Then with $\tilde{V} = \|\tilde{f}_1\|_\theta^2$ and $b(\delta) = \max_{y \in X} \mu(B(y, 4\delta)) < \infty$ we have*

$$d_W \left(\frac{F - \mathbb{E} F}{\sqrt{\text{Var } F}}, N \right) \leq c_k \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \lambda^{1-\frac{3}{2}i} \max \left\{ 1, b(\delta)^{\frac{i}{2}} \right\} \frac{\|\tilde{f}_i\|_\theta^2}{\tilde{V}}.$$

with $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Formula (6) yields $\text{Var } F \geq \|f_1\|^2 = \lambda^{2k-1} \|\tilde{f}_1\|_\theta^2 = \lambda^{2k-1} \tilde{V}$.

The estimate for M_{ij} runs as follows. Since $\pi \in \bar{\Pi}$ connects all sets of variables, and because condition (22) forces all arguments of f to be close, we can rewrite

$M_{ij}(f)$ as

$$M_{ij}(f) = \sum_{\pi \in \overline{\Pi}_{X^{|\pi|}}} \int R^\pi \left(\left| \prod_{l=1}^2 f_i(\cdot) \prod_{l=3}^4 f_j(\cdot) \right| \right) (y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) \mathbb{1}(\text{diam}(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) \leq 4\delta) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}).$$

By Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ij}(f) &\leq \sum_{\pi \in \overline{\Pi}} \prod_{l=1}^2 \left(\int_{X^{|\pi|}} R^\pi (|f_i(\cdot)|) (y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|})^4 \mathbb{1}(\text{diam}(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) \leq 4\delta) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad \prod_{l=3}^4 \left(\int_{X^{|\pi|}} R^\pi (|f_j(\cdot)|) (y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|})^4 \mathbb{1}(\text{diam}(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) \leq 4\delta) d\mu(y_1, \dots, y_{|\pi|}) \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq \|f_i^2\| \|f_j^2\| \left(\sum_{\pi \in \overline{\Pi}} b(\delta)^{|\pi|-i} b(\delta)^{|\pi|-j} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c_k \left(\lambda^{2k-\frac{3}{2}i} \|\tilde{f}_i^2\|_\theta \max \left\{ 1, b(\delta)^{\frac{i}{2}} \right\} \right) \left(\lambda^{2k-\frac{3}{2}j} \|\tilde{f}_j^2\|_\theta \max \left\{ 1, b(\delta)^{\frac{j}{2}} \right\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

with a constant $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 2k^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{M_{ij}(f)}}{\text{Var } F} &\leq c'_k \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda^{2k-\frac{3}{2}i} \|\tilde{f}_i^2\|_\theta \max \left\{ 1, b(\delta)^{\frac{i}{2}} \right\}}}{\sqrt{\lambda^{2k-1} \tilde{V}}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq c''_k \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \lambda^{1-\frac{3}{2}i} \max \left\{ 1, b(\delta)^{\frac{i}{2}} \right\} \frac{\|\tilde{f}_i^2\|_\theta}{\tilde{V}}. \end{aligned}$$

with constants $c'_k, c''_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Combining these estimates with Theorem 4.1 gives the claimed result. $\square$

The proof rests essentially upon the fact that F is a local U-statistic since this allows us to rewrite $M_{ij}(f)$ such that every function depends on all variables and to split these functions using Hölder's inequality.

6.2. A central limit theorem for the total edge length of a random geometric graph. We apply the results of the previous subsection to a problem from random graph theory. We construct a random graph in the following way. Let η be a Poisson process in $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ with an intensity measure of the form

$$\mu(\cdot) = \lambda \Lambda_d(\cdot \cap W)$$

with $\lambda \geq 1$, the d -dimensional Lebesgue-measure $\Lambda_d(\cdot)$ and a compact window $W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of volume $\Lambda_d(W) = 1$ containing the origin in its interior. We regard η as a set of points in W . As in (22) we connect two points $x, y \in \eta$ by an edge if

$$\|x - y\| \leq \delta = \delta(\lambda).$$

The resulting graph $G(P_\lambda, \delta)$ is a random geometric graph, sometimes called Gilbert graph or interval graph (for $d = 1$) and disk graph (for $d = 2$). For graph-theoretical properties of $G(P_\lambda, \delta)$ we refer to [23] and to the more recent developments [6], [15], and [17]. For our central limit theorem we take $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and assume that δ is small enough to ensure that

$$\bigcap_{x \in B(0, \delta)} (W + x) \supset \frac{1}{2}W.$$

We are interested in the total edge length $L(\eta)$ of $G(P_\lambda, \delta)$ in the window W , which is given by

$$L(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(x, y) \in \eta_{\neq}^2} g(x - y) \mathbb{I}(\|x - y\| \leq \delta)$$

with $g \in L^2(W^2)$. Here $g(\cdot)$ is some kind of measure of the length of the edge (x, y) . The following Lemma is immediate from Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 6.2. *$L(\eta)$ has a Wiener-Itô chaos expansion with kernels*

$$f_1(y) = \lambda \int_{B(0, \delta)} g(x) \mathbb{I}(y \in W \cap (W - x)) dx \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} g(x - y) \mathbb{I}(\|x - y\| \leq \delta).$$

For the length of this random graph we obtain the following central limit theorem:

Theorem 6.3. *Suppose $L \in L^2(\mathbb{P})$ is absolutely convergent with $\|f_1\|^2 > 0$ and N is a standard Gaussian random variable. Then*

$$d_W \left(\frac{L - \mathbb{E}L}{\sqrt{\text{Var } L}}, N \right) \leq c_d \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \max \left\{ 1, b(\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} + c'_d \lambda^{-2} \max \{ 1, b(\delta) \} \frac{\left(\int_{B(0, \delta)} g(x)^4 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\int_{B(0, \delta)} g(x) dx \right)^2}.$$

Proof. We compute the bound from Theorem 6.1. Lemma 6.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{V} = \|\tilde{f}_1\|_\theta^2 &= \int_W \left(\int_{B(0,\delta)} g(x) \mathbb{I}(y \in W \cap (W - x)) dx \right)^2 dy \\
&\geq \int_{\frac{1}{2}W} \left(\int_{B(0,\delta)} g(x) dx \right)^2 dy = 2^{-d} \left(\int_{B(0,\delta)} g(x) dx \right)^2, \\
\|\tilde{f}_1^2\|_\theta^2 &= \int_W \left(\int_{B(0,\delta)} g(x) \mathbb{I}_{(y \in W \cap (W-x))} dx \right)^4 dy \leq \left(\int_{B(0,\delta)} g(x) dx \right)^4, \\
\|\tilde{f}_2^2\|_\theta^2 &= \frac{1}{16} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{B(0,\delta)} g(x)^4 \mathbb{I}_{(y \in W \cap (W-x))} dx dy \leq \frac{1}{16} \int_{B(0,\delta)} g(x)^4 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

□

As an example consider the particular case $g = 1$, where $L(\eta)$ reduces to the number of edges of the graph. Then the expectation is of order $\lambda^2 \delta^d$. Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 6.3 tell us that the variance is of order $\max\{\lambda^3 \delta^{2d}, \lambda^2 \delta^d\}$ and that

$$d_W \left(\frac{L - \mathbb{E}L}{\sqrt{\text{Var } L}}, N \right) \leq c_d \delta^{\frac{1}{2}d} \max\{1, \lambda^{-2} \delta^{-2d}\}.$$

The right hand side tends to zero if $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda^{4/3} \Lambda_d(B(0, \delta)) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. In the maybe most natural case when $\lambda \Lambda_d(B(0, \delta))$ stays constant we have an order $\delta^{\frac{1}{2}d} = O(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ of convergence to the Gaussian distribution.

Similar results as Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 6.3 can be obtained if the intensity measure is of the form

$$d\mu(x) = \lambda f(x) d\Lambda_d(x)$$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and a density function $f(x)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bárány, I.: Sylvester's question: the probability that n points are in convex position. *Ann. Probab.* **27** (1999), 2020–2034
- [2] Bárány, I.: A note on Sylvester's four-point problem. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **38** (2001), 73–77
- [3] Bertsekas, D., Shreve, S.: *Stochastic Optimal Control: The Discrete Time Case*. Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Academic Press, New York (1978)
- [4] Buchta, C.: The exact distribution of the number of vertices of a random convex chain. *Mathematika* **53** (2006), 247–254
- [5] Chen, L., Shao, Q.: Stein's method for normal approximation. In A. Barbour, L. Chen, editors, *An Introduction to Stein's Method*. Singapore University Press, Singapore

- [6] Han, G., Makowski, A. M.: One-dimensional geometric random graphs with nonvanishing densities. I. A strong zero-one law for connectivity. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **55** (2009), 5832–5839
- [7] Heinrich, L.: Central limit theorems for motion-invariant Poisson hyperplanes in expanding convex windows. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser., Suppl.* **81** (2009), 187–212
- [8] Heinrich, L., Schmidt, H., Schmidt, V.: Central limit theorems for Poisson hyperplane tessellations. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **16** (2006), 919–950
- [9] Houdre, C., Perez-Abreu, V.: Covariance identities and inequalities for functionals on Wiener space and Poisson space. *Ann. Probab.* **23** (1995), 400–419
- [10] Itô, K.: Multiple Wiener integral. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **3** (1951), 157–169
- [11] Itô, K.: Spectral type of the shift transformation of differential processes with stationary increments. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81** (1956), 253–263
- [12] Kallenberg, O.: *Foundations of Modern Probability, Second Edition*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York (2002)
- [13] Koroljuk, V. S., Borovskich, Y. V.: *Theory of U-statistics*. Kluwer, Dodrecht (1993)
- [14] Last, G., Penrose, M.: Poisson process Fock space representation, chaos expansion and covariance inequalities (2010). To appear in *Probability Theory and Related Fields*
- [15] McDiarmid, C.: Random channel assignment in the plane. *Random Structures Algorithms* **22** (2003), 187–212
- [16] Molchanov, I., Zuyev, S.: Variational analysis of functionals of Poisson processes. *Math. Operat. Res.* **25** (2000), 485–508
- [17] Müller, T.: Two-point concentration in random geometric graphs. *Combinatorica* **28** (2008), 529–545
- [18] Nualart, D., Vives, J.: Anticipative calculus for the Poisson process based on the Fock space. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1426** (1990), 154–165
- [19] Peccati, G., Solé, J. L., Taqqu, M. S., Utzet, F.: Stein’s method and normal approximation of Poisson functionals. *Ann. Probab.* **38** (2010), 443–478
- [20] Peccati, G., Taqqu, M.: *Wiener chaos: moments, cumulants and diagrams.*, volume 1 of *Bocconi & Springer Series*. Springer, New York
- [21] Peccati, G., Taqqu, M.: Central limit theorems for double Poisson integrals. *Bernoulli* **14** (2008), 791–821
- [22] Peccati, G., Zheng, C.: Multi-dimensional Gaussian fluctuations on the Poisson space. *Electron. J. Probab.* **15** (2010), 1487–1527
- [23] Penrose, M.: *Random Geometric Graphs*. Oxford Studies in Probability. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2003)
- [24] Schneider, R.: *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, volume 44 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1993)
- [25] Schneider, R., Weil, W.: *Stochastic and integral geometry*. Probability and its Applications (New York). Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2008)
- [26] Schulte, M., Thaele, C.: The surface area of Poisson hyperplanes (2010). Preprint, arXiv:1011.5777
- [27] Stoyan, D., Kendall, W. S., Mecke, J.: *Stochastic geometry and its applications*. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Applied Probability and Statistics. Wiley, Chichester (1987)
- [28] Surgailis, D.: On multiple Poisson stochastic integrals and associated Markov semigroups. *Probab. Math. Statist.* **3** (1984), 217–239
- [29] Wiener, N.: The homogeneous chaos. *Am. J. Math.* **60** (1938), 897–936
- [30] Wu, L.: A new modified logarithmic Sobolev inequality for poisson point processes and several applications. *PTRF* **118** (2000), 427–438

UNIVERSITY OF OSNABRUECK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALBRECHTSTR. 28A,
49076 OSNABRUECK, GERMANY

E-mail address: `matthias.reitzner[at]uni-osnabrueck.de`

E-mail address: `matthias.schulte[at]uni-osnabrueck.de`